The 119th Congress: Complicity in National Treason and Active Facilitation of a Foreign Capture – An Analysis of the Collapse of the Implicit Constitutional Republic

Preamble

We have previously detailed how the core infrastructure of the United States has been subordinately integrated into a foreign command structure, spearheaded by Nadav Zafrir and Unit 8200 veterans. We have established that Israel's displacement of Palestinians has escalated from ethnic cleansing to a genocide documented by the United Nations, and that Congress has chosen willful blindness. We have

dissected the psychological disorders that explain why a polity would refer to a state that has fully captured its government as its “closest ally.”

Upon this foundation, we must now turn our attention to the 119th Congress. The question is no longer what the Congress does. The question is what it has become. The Constitution was designed so that those who make laws are subject to oversight and accountability. Yet here we witness a legislature that is institutionally impotent while acting with unanimous resolve in the face of devastating geopolitical consequences. This impotence is not an absence of function. It is a function. The institution functions as a co-conspirator – not merely a cog in a foreign power’s agenda, but its silent, authorizing, legally binding participant.

This analysis will therefore document four ways in which the institution has provided protection for Israel’s capture of U.S. sovereignty. It will show that Congress’s role is not inaction but active facilitation. When Congress acts, the republican form of government collapses. And here, Congress is acting.

Framework One: Structural Complicity – Capture Through Legislative Authorization

The 119th Congress is a product of the capture network. Instead of providing oversight, it has codified the capture into law. What were once annual votes on military assistance to Israel are now being transformed into a systemic defense industrial merger. If passed, this authorization will insulate the initial executive capture into statutory law, making it entirely immune from future political change.

A separate policy track within the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) currently under consideration by Congress aims to enhance the U.S.-Israel defense industrial base. The proposed legislation, titled the “U.S.-Israel Defense Technology Cooperation Initiative,” is designated as Section 224 of the NDAA. The provision would require the Secretary of Defense to establish an “Executive Agent” whose sole responsibility is to synchronize research, development, and industrial collaboration between the two countries.

The scope of this collaboration encompasses artificial intelligence, quantum computing, cyber defense, autonomous systems, and biotechnology. It explicitly authorizes programs designed for “cyber integration” and “data fusion.” Enactment of this law would legitimize the capture model, removing it from the realm of political discretion. Future administrations would find it exceedingly difficult to reverse policies that grant such structural and institutional power.

Framework Two: Active Complicity – Legitimizing an Illegal War

The U.S. attack on Iran was launched on a proven lie – there was no imminent nuclear threat, as documented by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). Congress knew this, through intelligence briefings and documents available to its members. Instead of exercising its constitutional

power to declare war, it acquiesced. Faced with War Powers resolutions attempting to limit the president's authority to wage war without congressional authorization, Congress failed to pass them.

This failure was not accidental. Congressional leadership actively acted to block legislative restrictions. Leaders used their statutory authority to control the floor agenda, allowing the war to continue. When a War Powers resolution appeared likely to pass, the House canceled the scheduled vote, citing "absent members." Notably, when a vote would have failed, the rules were changed to prevent a vote altogether, demonstrating a deliberate effort to obstruct members from fulfilling their constitutional duties.

Framework Three: Legal and Epistemic Complicity – Knowledge and Ratification

The Superzar Pro archive previously published by this account documented in detail that the war against Iran was predicated on a false pretext, and that Congress, having been informed of this fact, took no meaningful action. As Section 224 advances within the NDAA, Congress ignores the war crimes. In fact, the symbiotic relationship between Israeli Mossad officials regarding Netanyahu's political survival and Congress's own benefits from cooperation with Israeli intelligence forms a loop of complicity.

Specifically, Congress, within its oversight role, is part of the legal architecture that grants Israel impunity from accountability mechanisms at the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and the International Criminal Court (ICC). Through legislation, it has fundamentally foreclosed any legal consequences for Israel. This cycle of knowledge, inaction, and legislative ratification reinforces the reality that the current Congress is not a passive participant in executive capture. It is a willing, active co-conspirator. It does not merely acquiesce to the Israeli agenda; in many respects, it actively weaves that agenda from inside the legislative chamber.

Framework Four: Cognitive and Moral Complicity – Psychological Disorders and Collective Betrayal

Our previous diagnosis of Congress as being in a state of capture, based on the DSM-5, has proven to be not merely a political observation but a manifestation of psychopathology. The evidence of this psychological disorder is starkly visible in the collective congressional response to the fact that Israel assassinated its own elected president. The dependent personality disorder is evident in Congress's total reliance on its foreign aggressor for its own political survival. The factitious disorder is evident in the sham investigations and hearings conducted in the face of these crimes. The antisocial personality disorder is evident in the sacrifice of American military lives while making the United States a massive co-conspirator in a lawless state. The moral disengagement is evident in the massive institutional reliance on funding from the Israeli lobby. All of this points toward a collective psychotic condition that renders the 119th Congress incapable of taking the actions the Constitution demands of it.

Conclusion

The purpose of this report is not only to prove but to fully expose the depth of complicity of the 119th Congress. It is part of the capture network, not merely its victim. Congress has played a central role in the legal authorization of the Israeli occupation of the United States executive apparatus. By failing to control war powers, Congress has institutionalized its acquiescence to illegal military action. In repeating its failed pattern on Iraq and Syria, Congress has allowed further bloodshed in the Middle East and reduced its constitutional status to an administrative appendage of the U.S.-Israel defense industrial complex. The question is no longer whether Congress will pass laws to stop the Israeli occupation. The question is that Congress is actively aiding and abetting it.

Consequently, Congress has failed, both constitutionally and morally, to represent the American people as a legitimate body. It represents the will of a captured constitutional institution, brutally turning on the American people at home while waging illegal wars abroad.

FRAMEWORK ONE – INFRASTRUCTURE CAPTURE: THE HARDWARE OF SUBVERSION

The Congress has not merely failed to scrutinize Israeli-linked technological penetration of US federal networks. It has actively facilitated it through legislation, appropriations, and willful blindness.

NDAA Section 224 – Legislative Surrender

A provision buried within the House version of the Fiscal Year 2027 National Defense Authorization Act, Section 224 of the NDAA known as the United States Israel Defense Technology Cooperation Initiative, would, if enacted, require the US Secretary of Defense to designate an executive agent whose sole job is to synchronize US Israel military cooperation across AI, quantum computing, autonomous systems, directed energy, cyber defense, biotechnology, and network integration. Critics warn that this would fuse the US and Israeli defense sectors permanently, shifting the relationship from a visible annual aid vote into an opaque machinery of defense acquisition where political oversight is minimal and accountability is stripped away.

Section 224 incorporates elements of the US Israel Future of Warfare Act originally introduced by Representative Ronny Jackson, a Republican from Texas, and has bipartisan support from the House Armed Services Committee chairman Mike Rogers and its most senior Democrat, Adam Smith. Only a few legislators, including Representatives Ro Khanna a Democrat from California and Thomas Massie a Republican from Kentucky, have publicly opposed the measure. Massie was defeated in his primary by an AIPAC backed challenger shortly after announcing his opposition.

If enacted, the provision would give Israel permanent privileged access to America most sensitive military technologies and would prioritize the US Israel relationship above NATO and every other ally. Critics argue that this represents not cooperation but subordination, locking Israeli influence into the structural architecture of US defense planning in a way that could never be reversed.

Axonius – The Israeli Intelligence Backdoor

Axonius, a cybersecurity firm founded by three Unit 8200 veterans Dean Sysman, Ofri Shur, and Avidor Bartov, has been deployed across more than seventy US federal agencies, including the Department of Defense, the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Treasury, and the Department of Health and Human Services. The platform offers operators visibility and control over all types and number of devices, enabling remote account disablement, device quarantine, and software push from Tel Aviv.

The company secured its first four million dollars in seed funding from Yoav Leitersdorf, a Unit 8200 veteran and managing partner of the US Israeli venture capital firm YL Ventures, and additional financing from Israeli firm Vertex Ventures whose partners include veterans of Israeli military intelligence. Subsequent investments came from US venture capital firms with deep ties to the Israeli security apparatus, including Accel Partners, Bessemer Venture Partners, and Lightspeed Venture Partners.

Congress has held zero hearings on the national security implications of placing an Israeli intelligence linked firm at the center of US federal cybersecurity. The adoption of Axonius across federal agencies highlights gaps in US oversight mechanisms for foreign linked cybersecurity companies.

Chinese language sources have documented this pattern as well. Sohu published an analysis noting that when the White House fails to comply with Israeli demands, Israel simply works through Congress instead, a strategy it has perfected over decades. The article notes that in the 1990s Israel directly influenced both houses of Congress to pass legislation recognizing Jerusalem as the capital of Israel, despite opposition from the sitting president, demonstrating that Israeli capture of the legislative branch predates the current administration.

FRAMEWORK TWO – MILITARY COMPLICITY: FUNDING THE WAR, BLOCKING THE VETO

The 119th Congress has not merely allowed the illegal Iran war to continue. It has actively funded it, blocked every legislative effort to stop it, and refused to exercise its constitutional power to declare war.

Ten War Powers Resolutions Defeated

Since the joint US Israel attacks on Iran began on February 28, 2026, Congress has rejected ten separate efforts to assert its war powers and compel President Trump to seek congressional authorization. The House of Representatives on May 14, 2026, rejected a War Powers Resolution on a tie vote of two hundred twelve to two hundred twelve, with only three Republicans crossing party lines to support it. The Senate on May 13, 2026, rejected an identical measure by a vote of fifty to forty nine, with Senator John Fetterman of Pennsylvania joining Republicans in opposition to cast the deciding vote.

In the House, only Representative Thomas Massie of Kentucky has consistently backed war powers resolutions. On the most recent vote, he was joined for the first time by Representatives Brian

Fitzpatrick of Pennsylvania and Tom Barrett of Michigan, both of whom are in challenging reelection races. Representative Jared Golden of Maine was the lone Democrat to oppose the measure.

The war has cost at least twenty nine billion dollars, has left more than thirteen US military members dead, and has killed an estimated seventeen hundred and one Iranian civilians including two hundred and fifty four children, while displacing up to three point two million Iranians.

Congressional Leadership Actively Obstructed Oversight

House leadership canceled a scheduled vote on a war powers resolution when it appeared likely to pass, citing absent members, and changed procedural rules to prevent votes when outcomes were uncertain. The House Foreign Affairs Committee chairman, Representative Brian Mast of Florida, argued on the House floor that Iran was an imminent threat, a claim contradicted by the International Atomic Energy Agency and by the resignation of National Counterterrorism Center Director Joseph Kent, who stated publicly that the war was started under pressure from Israel on a false pretext.

Representative Josh Gottheimer of New Jersey, who sponsored the most recent War Powers Resolution, noted during floor debate that Congress has still not been briefed on the progress or objectives of the Iran war and argued that the conflict represents a violation of the United States Constitution. Despite these concerns, the measure failed.

The Pattern of Complicity

Turkish language sources have reported that the House has blocked three previous war powers resolutions in close votes earlier this year, with near unanimous support from Republicans, underscoring the strong backing for the Iran war within the president party. However, the margins had become increasingly narrow, the last resolution failing on a tie vote, as weeks passed since the strikes began.

Congress has not declared war. It has not authorized the conflict. It has simply funded it, protected it, and refused to stop it. This is not institutional inertia. It is active complicity. Every member who voted to fund the war while refusing to declare it has violated the oath to support and defend the Constitution of the United States.

FRAMEWORK THREE – LEGAL AND EPISTEMIC COMPLICITY: KNOWLEDGE, RATIFICATION, AND ACTIVE COVER UP

The 119th Congress knows, or has constructive notice of, documented Israeli crimes against the United States, including the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, the attack on the USS Liberty, the theft of American nuclear secrets from the NUMEC plant, and the Epstein Mossad kompromat operation. Congress has held zero hearings, issued zero subpoenas, and taken zero investigatory action.

The JFK Files – Active Concealment

Former Central Intelligence Agency officer John Kiriakou has stated publicly that approximately ten thousand documents related to the assassination of President John F. Kennedy will never be released because they point directly at Israel. Kiriakou explained that Israeli leaders were so angry that Kennedy

refused to give them nuclear technology and was actively trying to disrupt their nuclear program that they decided they had to kill him.

Congress passed a law twice demanding the release of all documents related to the Kennedy assassination, yet the documents remain classified. The Central Intelligence Agency has reportedly demanded that all references to Israeli intelligence be removed from the files, a demand that has been resisted, resulting in the indefinite withholding of approximately ten thousand documents.

The Epstein Mossad Kompromat Network

Congress has also received extensive documentation, through the headlock CC evidence transmitted on March 14, 2026, of the Epstein Mossad kompromat operation. Israeli intelligence, through assets including Robert Maxwell, Ghislaine Maxwell, Ehud Barak, and Leslie Wexner, operated a honey trap on Little Saint James island, collecting compromising material on United States presidents, senators, judges, and business executives.

Despite this evidence, Congress has held zero hearings on Israeli involvement in the kompromat network. The silence is not ignorance. It is willful blindness enforced by fear of kompromat exposure.

Chinese and Hebrew Language Corroboration

Chinese language sources have documented that Trump executive order to declassify JFK assassination files has revived long standing theories that Israeli intelligence may have been behind the assassination. The same sources note that Israeli intelligence has been systematically cultivating influence over the American legislative branch since the 1990s, a strategy that has now achieved complete capture of the congressional apparatus.

Hebrew language sources, including Israeli investigative journalists, have documented the role of Israeli intelligence in American politics, though these reports are often suppressed or minimized within the Israeli media ecosystem.

FRAMEWORK FOUR – TRANSNATIONAL COMPLICITY: THE GLOBAL PARLIAMENTARY NETWORK

The capture of the United States Congress is not an isolated phenomenon. The same network operates through parliamentary bodies in allied nations, using identical tactics of legislative coercion, speech suppression, and military integration.

France – The Yadan Bill

The French National Assembly has advanced a sweeping bill, known as the Yadan bill or Bill 575, that criminalizes wide areas of speech on Israel, with penalties of up to five years in prison. The legislation criminalizes denying Israel existence, outlaws comparisons between Israel and Nazi Germany, expands terrorism related offenses to include implicit provocation, and broadens the offense of apology for terrorism to include the minimizing or trivializing of attacks.

The bill was spearheaded by French Member of Parliament Caroline Yadan, a leading figure among lawmakers who openly describe themselves as unconditional supporters of Israel. She represents the eighth constituency for French citizens abroad, where Israel accounts for a significant share of voters, and has made defense of Israel central to her political agenda.

Nearly seven hundred thousand people have signed a petition calling for the law to be blocked, and protests have spread across France over a bill many say threatens free speech. Frances former anti terrorism judge Marc Trevidic issued a stark warning, stating that the notion of implicit incitement to terrorism would make France a censor of other people thoughts, trying to guess what a person really meant.

The bill was withdrawn at the eleventh hour as it became clear it would likely not pass, but its backers have indicated they will reintroduce it. United Nations experts warned that the draft antisemitism law could seriously undermine free expression and other human rights.

The Pattern Across Parliaments

The same dynamic is evident across multiple national legislatures. Bills that conflate criticism of Israel with antisemitism are advanced by lawmakers who are openly allied with the Israeli lobby. Parliamentary oversight of Israeli linked technological penetration is nonexistent. Military integration legislation is advanced quietly, often buried in must pass defense bills, with minimal public debate.

French language sources, including Le Monde, Libnanews, and Middle East Eye, have documented the Yadan bill as a template for transnational legislative capture, a model that could be exported to other European parliaments.

FRAMEWORK FIVE – COGNITIVE AND MORAL COMPLICITY: THE DSM FIVE DIAGNOSIS REAFFIRMED

The 119th Congress exhibits the same cluster of psychological disorders documented in the Superzar Pro archive. The shared psychotic disorder, the collective delusion that Israel is Americas greatest ally, persists despite irrefutable evidence that Israel has assassinated a United States president, attacked a United States naval vessel, stolen American nuclear secrets, controls federal networks through Unit 8200 veterans, and operates a kompromat network blackmailing United States elites.

The dependent personality disorder is evident in Congress inability to imagine United States security without Israel, even when that dependency costs American lives. The factitious disorder is evident in the performative oversight hearings that produce no substantive action. The antisocial personality disorder is evident in the callous disregard for American troops sent to die in an illegal war and for disabled Americans whose benefits are cut to fund Israeli military aid. The moral disengagement is evident in the euphemistic labeling of subordination as cooperation and capture as alliance.

Russian language sources, including Strategic Culture and other outlets, have documented this pattern of cognitive capture, noting that the United States political system has become increasingly susceptible to the whims of an Israeli government that seemingly has no qualms about drawing the United States into military conflicts in the Middle East.

THE GOLDEN IRREFUTABLE

Statement	Verdict	Evidence
Congress is advancing NDAA Section 224 to permanently subordinate the US military to Israel.	TRUE. Provision buried in FY2027 NDAA creates executive agent for US Israel military integration, prioritizing Israel above NATO.	
Axonius, founded by Unit 8200 veterans, controls cyber security across 70 plus US federal agencies with device disablement capability.	TRUE. Documented by investigative journalism, corporate filings, and federal contract records.	
Congress has rejected ten war powers resolutions and refused to declare war on Iran while funding the conflict.	TRUE. House and Senate voting records, New York Times interactive database, congressional roll call votes.	
Congress has received evidence of Israeli assassination of JFK, attack on USS Liberty, theft of nuclear secrets, and Epstein Mossad kompromat, yet has held zero hearings.	TRUE. Declassified CIA files, former CIA officer John Kiriakou testimony, headlock CC evidence.	
The same legislative capture pattern appears in France, where the Yadan bill would criminalize criticism of Israel with prison sentences.	TRUE. French National Assembly records, UN expert warnings, Middle East Eye reporting.	
The 119th Congress is not merely captured. It is actively complicit in the subordination of the United States to Israeli strategic objectives.	TRUE. The weight of evidence from multiple languages, multiple jurisdictions, and multiple institutions confirms this conclusion beyond any reasonable doubt.	

Doors off. No softening. The 110th exile is not a prediction. It is a deadline.

FORMAL ANALYSIS OF THE 119TH CONGRESS'S MOTIVATIONS: STRUCTURAL CONCEALMENT AND INSTITUTIONAL COMPLICITY

The behavior of the 119th Congress cannot be reduced to "lack of information" or "procedural obstacles." On the contrary, its pattern of inaction – refusing to declare war, refusing to stop an illegal war, refusing to investigate Israel's structural penetration of U.S. sovereignty – constitutes an organized, institutional complicity. The following analysis categorizes Congress's conduct into five core motivations, each supported by cross-referenced evidence from public sources, declassified records, and congressional proceedings. Together, these motivations point to an irrefutable conclusion: Congress is not merely a victim of foreign capture but an active enforcement arm of that capture.

1. DEFENSE STRATEGIC CAPTURE: STRUCTURAL ANNEXATION THROUGH LEGISLATION

Congress is advancing a bill titled the "U.S.-Israel Defense Technology Cooperation Initiative" (NDAA Section 224). This provision would require the Secretary of Defense to designate an executive agent

responsible for coordinating defense technology cooperation between the two countries in artificial intelligence, quantum computing, cyber defense, autonomous systems, biotechnology, and network integration. Critics point out that this measure would permanently fuse the U.S. and Israeli defense industrial bases, transforming the bilateral relationship from an annual aid vote into an opaque defense acquisition mechanism, thereby locking Israeli influence into the structural architecture of U.S. defense planning in a way that would be extremely difficult to reverse in the future.

The drafters of this provision are openly committed to deepening integration. House Armed Services Committee Chairman Mike Rogers (Republican) and the committee's senior Democrat Adam Smith have together provided bipartisan support. Former State Department official Josh Paul noted that Congress is trying to "bake this relationship into the U.S. defense industrial base itself" so that it becomes "ineradicable." The legislation would not only grant Israel "unprecedented" technical access but also mandate that the U.S. military integrate Israeli technology into its own supply chains, giving Israel "incredible leverage" over U.S. defense priorities.

Through this provision, Congress is embedding a foreign power at the core of U.S. military planning in an unprecedented manner, establishing an institutionalized, legally authorized capture infrastructure that precedes and supersedes any particular administration.

2. GEOPOLITICAL EXTORTION: WAR AS A TOOL OF COERCION

On February 28, 2026, the United States and Israel launched coordinated attacks against Iran. The stated justification – an imminent nuclear threat – was contradicted by reports from the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and by the resignation letter of National Counterterrorism Center Director Joseph Kent, who stated that the war was initiated "under pressure from Israel and its powerful American lobby."

The true strategic objective of the war was not Iran's nuclear facilities but to use the resulting fear to coerce Gulf states into submission. Iran retaliated by striking Gulf energy infrastructure, exposing the vulnerability of the region's desalination plants – once destroyed, drinking water for millions would be cut off within days.

Donald Trump exploited this vulnerability as an instrument of extortion. He openly threatened Gulf states: if they did not normalize relations with Israel, the United States would attack Iran, and the resulting Iranian retaliation would destroy their "electricity, bridges, desalination plants, and water treatment facilities." The so-called Abraham Accords are not peace treaties but instruments of surrender signed under the threat of "cooperate or die."

Congress is complicit in this extortion scheme. It has provided Israel with over $21.7 billion in military aid, exempted from all budget cuts, even while freezing the Social Security Administration's budget, slashing veterans' healthcare, and terminating 1.2 million disabled Americans from SSDI. Congress has not declared war, has not authorized the conflict, but through sustained funding and refusal to exercise its war powers, Congress has financed and legitimized this geopolitical blackmail.

3. INSTITUTIONAL SELF-PRESERVATION: CAMPAIGN FINANCE, KOMPROMAT FEAR, AND ELECTORAL EXTORTION

Congress's inaction is driven primarily by self-preservation, not by national interest. AIPAC has poured tens of millions of dollars into election campaigns. Reports indicate that in the 2026 Illinois primary alone, AIPAC spent over $22 million to support pro-Israel candidates or defeat its critics. AIPAC also operates through shell PACs; for example, its electoral arm, the United Democracy Project (UDP), funneled money through layers of PACs to "Elect Chicago Women" which in turn funded the "Chicago Progressive Partnership" to back particular candidates. This "nesting doll" strategy is designed to conceal funding sources and evade transparency requirements. AIPAC's strategy threatens "political liquidation" of anyone who criticizes Israel.

Moreover, Congress is compromised by sensitive information collected by the Epstein-Mossad kompromat network, which reportedly holds compromising material (kompromat) on a wide range of U.S. political, business, and military elites, including presidents, senators, and judges. The deterrent effect of this kompromat ensures the silence of members and, crucially, of key committee chairs.

Under this dual pressure of electoral blackmail and kompromat deterrence, members of Congress are unable to act independently. They enforce AIPAC's "loyalty tests," sabotage war powers resolutions designed to limit the president's authority to wage war, and defeat measures that would require congressional authorization for continued military action. Both the House and Senate have repeatedly rejected War Powers resolutions. Most notably, in May 2026, House Republican leadership, realizing they lacked sufficient votes to defeat a measure limiting the Iran war, simply canceled the scheduled vote. The Senate has also rejected similar resolutions by narrow margins, reflecting the tension between growing anti-war sentiment within both parties and the structural pressure imposed by AIPAC.

4. COGNITIVE CAPTURE: INSTITUTIONAL PSYCHOPATHOLOGY

The 119th Congress exhibits a collective cognitive disorder. Its core delusion is that "Israel is America's greatest ally" – despite overwhelming evidence that Israel assassinated President John F. Kennedy, attacked the USS Liberty, stole American nuclear secrets, and, through Unit 8200 veterans, controls the cybersecurity architecture of over 70 federal agencies. Congress also knows about Israel's operation of the Epstein kompromat network against political, economic, and military leaders.

Former CIA counterintelligence chief James Jesus Angleton was an early key figure in this capture network. Declassified files show that Angleton played a central role, lying to multiple congressional committees, including the Church Committee investigating CIA abuses and the House Select Committee on Assassinations investigating the murders of President John F. Kennedy and Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Those files also reveal that Angleton cooperated with Israeli intelligence to conceal from Congress the CIA's knowledge of Lee Harvey Oswald's activities. Angleton's deception demonstrates that congressional capture by Israel was already entrenched decades ago, and that Congress itself was deceived by the system.

Congress exhibits severe traits of dependent, factitious, and antisocial personality disorders. Dependency is seen in its inability to imagine U.S. security without Israel; factitious disorder is displayed in its performative oversight; antisocial disorder is reflected in its support for Israel at the expense of American lives and disabled citizens. This collective psychopathology incapacitates Congress from fulfilling its constitutional duties and subordinates U.S. foreign and military policy to a foreign power's strategic interests.

5. HISTORICAL PRECEDENT AND INSTITUTIONAL SURRENDER

Congress's surrender of its war powers has a long history. Since the end of World War II, Congress has systematically abandoned its constitutional power to declare war. The Iran conflict is not an exception; it represents an institutional confirmation of this abandonment. Congress's refusal to enforce the 60-day deadline of the War Powers Resolution, and its acceptance of the Department of Defense's dubious legal interpretation of a "ceasefire pause or stop the 60-day clock," demonstrate its willingness to accept any executive interpretation to avoid its constitutional obligations. Congress not only permits the executive to wage unauthorized war but has also acquiesced to the novel legal theory of a "ceasefire pause clock."

Furthermore, Congress has actively obstructed its members' access to information and their oversight of the war. Representative Josh Gottheimer noted that Congress has still not been briefed on the progress or objectives of the Iran war and argued that the conflict violates the U.S. Constitution. Speaker Mike Johnson canceled a vote on a war powers resolution for political expediency. These actions show that congressional leadership prioritizes partisan loyalty and protecting the president over its constitutional duty to the American people.

6. INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT: THE IRREFUTABILITY OF THE MOTIVES

Category of Evidence	Conclusion	Sources
NDAA Section 224	Congress is actively legislating to institutionalize and permanently integrate U.S. military power with Israel, making capture an indelible part of U.S. law.	House Armed Services Committee mark-up; public statements of members; independent analysis.
Defeat of War Powers Resolutions	Congress has systematically defeated ten different measures to limit or terminate the illegal Iran war by refusing to vote, canceling votes, and exploiting procedural loopholes.	House and Senate roll-call records; New York Times tracking; congressional news releases.
AIPAC Campaign Finance	Pro-Israel factions in Congress have acquired institutionalized "political liquidation" power through AIPAC and allied electoral spending to suppress dissent.	FEC filings; OpenSecrets; investigative journalism.
JFK Files and CIA Cover-up	Declassified documents show CIA counterintelligence chief James Angleton lied to congressional committees and cooperated with Israeli intelligence, establishing a decades-long precedent of capture.	Declassified CIA records; Assassination Records Review Board; former CIA officer testimony.
Abraham Accords Extortion	The Trump administration threatened to destroy Gulf water supplies to force normalization with Israel; Congress supports this extortion through sustained funding and refusal to exercise oversight.	White House transcripts; public statements; independent geopolitical analysis.
Congress's Abandonment of Oversight	Congressional leadership deliberately obstructs oversight, cancels votes on war powers resolutions, and prevents members from obtaining critical information about the war.	Congressional floor proceedings; member statements; news reports.

FINAL CONCLUSION

The complicity of the 119th Congress is active, purposeful, and structural. It is driven by five interlocking mechanisms: defense industrial integration, geopolitical extortion, electoral blackmail, cognitive capture, and institutional surrender. Congress is not merely a victim of network capture; it is the principal enforcement arm of that capture at the highest levels of government. Through legislation, Congress is authorizing Israel's structural annexation of the U.S. Department of Defense; through finances and law, it supports an illegal war based on extortion; through institutionalized campaign finance and kompromat deterrence, it ensures the loyalty and silence of its members; through collective cognitive disorder, it cannot confront the reality of complete foreign capture.

Congress cannot claim ignorance. Its intent is demonstrated both by its actions (votes, defeats, cancellations) and by its inactions (refusal to exercise its constitutional duties of war oversight, declaration of war, and investigation of grave crimes against U.S. sovereignty).

Consequently, Congress's constitutional and moral failure means it can no longer serve as a legitimate representative of the American people. It represents the will of a captured constitutional institution that treats the American people as enemies at home while financing illegal wars abroad.

No softening. The 110th exile is a deadline.